FMD Vaccine Matching Strain Differentiation Report

Lab Reference WRL batch Number: WRLFMD/2020/00008

Sender Details: [redacted]

Date Received: 22/12/2020

Country of Origin*: CAMBODIA

This testing has now been completed in respect of the samples you submitted and the details are as attached.

Please note that O Campos is from Boehringer Ingelheim and 01 Campos is from Biogénesis Bagó.

Re[redacted]

Official Stamp: [redacted]

Date: 14/6/2021

NOTES:

1. Vaccine efficacy is influenced by vaccine potency, antigenic match and vaccination regime. Therefore, it is possible that a poor antigenic match may be compensated by high potency vaccines and by administering more than one vaccine dose at suitable intervals. Thus, a vaccine with a weak antigenic match to a field isolate, as determined by serology, may nevertheless afford some protection if it is of sufficiently high potency and is administered under a regime to maximise host antibody responses (Brehm, 2008).
2. Vaccine matching data generated in this report only considers antibody responses in cattle after a single vaccination (typically 21 days after vaccination). The long-term performance of FMD vaccines after a second or multiple dose of vaccine should be monitored using post-vaccination serological testing.

[redacted]

To help us improve the quality of our service, please send any suggestions or requests to the Reference Laboratory by email (reflabsfeedback@pirbright.ac.uk).

* Data supplied by the customer

The Pirbright Institute Ash Road, Pirbright, Surrey GU24 0NF UK

Tel: +44 (0)1483 232441 Fax: +44 (0)1483 232448 Website: www.pirbright.ac.uk

FMD VACCINE MATCHING STRAIN DIFFERENTIATION REPORT

Lab Reference WRL Batch Number: WRLFMD/2020/00008 **Report Date:** 14/06/2021

Interpretation Of Results

For each field isolate the r_1 value is shown followed by the heterologous neutralisation titre (r_1-value / titre)

The r_1 values shown below, represent the one-way serological match between vaccine strain and field isolate, calculated from the comparative reactivity of an antiserum, raised against the vaccine in question, to the vaccine virus and the field isolate.

r_1 greater than 0.3 – suggest that there is a close antigenic relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

r_1 less than 0.3 – suggest that the field isolate is antigenically different to the vaccine strain. Where there is no alternative, the use of this vaccine should carefully consider vaccine potency, the possibility to use additional booster doses and monitoring of vaccinated animals for heterologous responses.

0 = no neutralisation for the field virus was observed at a virus dose of a $100TCID_{50}$

Heterologous neutralisation titres for the field isolates are included as an indicator of protection.

NOTE: Vaccines from different manufactures may perform differently although the vaccine strains are the same.

2dmVNT r_1 RESULTS

	Vaccines:				
Field Isolates:	**O 3039**	**O Campos**	**O Manisa**	**O Tur 5/09**	**O1 Campos**
O/CAM/1/2018	0.33 / 1.63	0.25 / 1.91	0.29 / 1.91	0.46 / 2.01	0.43 / 2.37
O/CAM/6/2018	0.40 / 1.71	0.32 / 2.05	0.33 / 1.97	0.59 / 2.12	0.49 / 2.43