



Institute for Animal Health

Registered Office: Compton, Newbury, Berkshire RG20 7NN

Telephone: +44 (0) 1635-578411 Facsimile: +44 (0) 1635-577237

e-mail: iah@bbsrc.ac.uk Website: <http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk>

Director: Professor Martin Shirley PhD

Reply to Pirbright Laboratory

Fax: 01483 232621

Direct Dial: 01483 231014

E-mail: Elizabeth.byrom@bbsrc.ac.uk

Page 1 of 3

To:

Email:

CC:

Email:

From:

Date:

1st May 2009

Subject:

Vaccine Matching Report

No. Of Pages:

3

Email

This message is intended for the use of the person named above and may contain confidential information. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telefax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or E-mail and then return the original transmission to us by mail.

Thank you.

Dear 

Please find below the final vaccine matching ("r1") value report for A LIB/14/2009 and A LIB/117/2009.

Yours sincerely,

Head: Vesicular Reference Laboratory

A company limited by guarantee, registered in England No. 559784. The Institute is also a registered charity, Charity Commissioners Reference No. 228824
The Institute is sponsored by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council. An Associated Institute of the University of Reading.

Pirbright Laboratory
Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking
Surrey GU24 0NF
Tel: 01483-232441
Fax: 01483-232448

Compton Laboratory
Compton, Newbury,
Berkshire RG20 7NN
Tel: 01635-578411
Fax: 01635-577237

Report no: 11/09		VNT					ELISA			
Field Isolate:		Vaccine:	A22 Irq	A Tur06	A Eritrea	A Sau 41/91	Vaccine:	A22 Irq 24/64	A Irn 99	A Eritrea 98
A Lib 14/2009	Test 1	mn43/09	0.33				SD 55/09	0.13	Did not trap	Did not trap
	Test 2	mn45/09	0.40	1.00	0.04		SD 57/09	0.09		
	Test 3	mn47/09		0.66	0.04	0.36				
		Mean		0.37	0.83	0.04	0.36	Mean	0.11	
A Lib 117/2009	Test 1	mn43/09	0.16				SD 55/09	0.08	Did not trap	0.06
	Test 2	mn45/09	0.20	0.65	0.03		SD 57/09	0.06		0.03
	Test 3	mn47/09		0.56	0.02	0.23				
		Mean		0.18	0.61	0.03	0.23	Mean	0.07	

Interpretation of r_1 values

In the case of ELISA:

$r_1 = 0.4-1.0$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

$r_1 = 0.2-0.39$, Suggests that the field isolate is antigenically related to the vaccine strain. The vaccine strain might be suitable for use if no closer match can be found provided that a potent vaccine is used and animals are preferably immunised more than once.

$r_1 = <0.2$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

In the case of neutralisation:

$r_1 = \geq 0.3$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

$r_1 = < 0.3$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

N.B.

All of our phylogenetic trees can be accessed via the internet at:

http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk/primary_index/current_research/virus/Picornaviridae/Apthovirus/index.html