

Institute for Animal Health

Registered Office: Compton, Newbury, Berkshire RG20 7NN Telephone: +44 (0) 1635-578411 Facsimile: +44 (0) 1635-577237

e-mail: iah@bbsrc.ac.uk Website: http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk

Director: Professor Martin Shirley PhD

Reply to Pirbright Laboratory Fax: 01483 232621 Direct Dial: 01483 231014

E-mail: Elizabeth.byrom@bbsrc.ac.uk

Page 1 of 2

Email

To:

Email:

CC:

Email: From:

D-1--

Date: 1st May 2009

Subject:

Vaccine Matching Report

No. Of Pages:

This message is intended for the use of the person named above and may contain confidential information. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telefax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or E-mail and then return the original transmission to us by mail.

Thank you.

Dear

Please find below the final vaccine matching ("r1" value) report for O IRN/7/2009 and O

IRN/14/2009.

Yours sincerely,

Head: Vesicular Reference Laboratory

2

A company limited by guarantee, registered in England no. 559784. The Institute is also a registered charity, Charity Commissioners Reference No. 228824

The Institute is sponsored by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council. An Associated Institute of the University of Reading.

Pirbright Laboratory Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking Surrey GU24 0NF Tel: 01483-232441 Fax: 01483-232448 Compton Laboratory Compton, Newbury, Berkshire RG20 7NN Tel: 01635-578411 Fax: 01635-577237

Report no:	09/09	VNT				ELISA			
Field Isolate:		Vaccine:	O Manisa	O Bfs	O Ind R2/75	Vaccine:	O Manisa	O 4174	O BFS 1860
O lrn 7/2009	Test 1	mn31/09	0.11	0.05	0.19	SD 49/09 SD	0.50	Did not trap	Did not trap
	Test 2	mn39/09	0.23	0.07	0.16	50/09	1.00		
		Mean	0.17	0.06	0.18	Mean	0.75		
O Irn 14/2009	Test 1	mn31/09	0.45	0.36		SD 49/09 SD	0.67	0.13	0.17
	Test 2	mn39/09	0.17	0.08		50/09 SD	1.00	0.25	0.25
	Test 3	mn44/09	0.17	0.04		51/09 SD		0.25	0.06 ref sera
	Test 4				14	52/09			fail
	Test 5					SD 53/09			0.13
		Mean	0.26	0.16		Mean	0.84	0.21	0.15

Interpretation of vaccine matching (r1) values

In the case of ELISA:

 $r_1 = 0.4$ -1.0. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

 $r_1 = 0.2$ -0.39, Suggests that the field isolate is antigenically related to the vaccine strain. The vaccine strain might be suitable for use if no closer match can be found provided that a potent vaccine is used and animals are preferably immunised more than once.

 $r_1 = <0.2$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

In the case of neutralisation:

 $r_1 = \ge 0.3$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

 $r_1 = < 0.3$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

N.B.

All of our phylogenetic trees can be accessed via the internet at:

http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk/primary_index/current_research/virus/Picornaviridae/Aphthovirus/index.htm