



Institute for Animal Health

Registered Office: Compton, Newbury, Berkshire RG20 7NN

Telephone: +44 (0) 1635-578411 Facsimile: +44 (0) 1635-577237

e-mail: iah@bbsrc.ac.uk Website: <http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk>

Director: Professor Martin Shirley PhD

Reply to Pirbright Laboratory
Fax: 01483 232621
Direct Dial: 01483 231014
E-mail: Elizabeth.byrom@bbsrc.ac.uk
Page 1 of 2

FAX

To: [REDACTED]
Fax Number: [REDACTED]
CC: [REDACTED]
Fax Number: [REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Date: 30th March 2009
Subject: R1 Report
No. Of Pages: 2

This message is intended for the use of the person named above and may contain confidential information. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telefax in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or E-mail and then return the original transmission to us by mail.

Thank you.

Dear [REDACTED]

Please find below the final "r1" value report for A Bar 2/2009.

Yours sincerely, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Head: World Reference Laboratory for FMD

CC: [REDACTED]

A company limited by guarantee, registered in England no. 559784. The Institute is also a registered charity, Charity Commissioners Reference No. 228824
The Institute is sponsored by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council. An Associated Institute of the University of Reading.

Pirbright Laboratory
Ash Road, Pirbright, Woking
Surrey GU24 0NF
Tel: 01483-232441
Fax: 01483-232448

Compton Laboratory
Compton, Newbury,
Berkshire RG20 7NN
Tel: 01635-578411
Fax: 01635-577237

Report no: 07/09		2dmVNT							LPBE			
Field Isolate:	SAU	2dmVNT	A Tur06 Arriah pool2	A22 Irq 23-32	A22 Irq 34-43	A Sau 41/91 SI94	A Eri RZ pool	A Ind 17/82 7756	ELISA test ref:	A22 Irq 24/64 TC76-83	A May 97 VJ62-76	A Irn 87 Merial 1781
A Bar 2/2009	B69/09	mean	>0.72	0.38	0.56	0.20	0.04	0.13	mean	0.19	≥1	0.03

Interpretation of r_1 values

In the case of ELISA:

$r_1 = 0.4-1.0$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

$r_1 = 0.2-0.39$, Suggests that the field isolate is antigenically related to the vaccine strain. The vaccine strain might be suitable for use if no closer match can be found provided that a potent vaccine is used and animals are preferably immunised more than once.

$r_1 = <0.2$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

In the case of neutralisation:

$r_1 = \geq 0.3$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

$r_1 = < 0.3$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.

N.B.

All of our phylogenetic trees can be accessed via the internet at:

http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk/primary_index/current_research/virus/Picornaviridae/Aphthovirus/index.html