

Institute for Animal Health

PIRBRIGHT LABORATORY, Ash Road, Pirbright, Surrey GU24 0NF Tel: +44 (0)1483 232441 Fax: +44 (0) 1483 232448 E-mail: animal.health@bbsrc.ac.uk Website: http://www.iah.bbsrc.ac.uk

Acting Head of Site: Dr John Anderson MBE

FAX TRANSMISSION

TO:		FROM:			
DATE: 24	4.10.2007	FAX NO:			
PAGES: 2		RE:	Strain differentiation results		
Dear Strain differentiation results for Mali type A FMD virus isolates received in batches of samples on 9 th March 2007. The following r ₁ values were obtained by ELISA and VNT at the FAO World Reference Laboratory for FMD. Yours sincerely					
Head: World Reference Laboratory for FMD Cc					

r ₁ Values by ELISA				
Ref. No	A 22	A Eri		
A Mai 12/2006	0.61	0.43		
A Mai 16/2006	0.21	0.21		
r ₁ Values by VNT				
	Irn 87			
A Mai 12/2006	< 0.02			
A Mai 16/2006	0.03			

Interpretation of r₁ values

In the case of ELISA:

 $r_1 = 0.4-1.0$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

 $r_1 = 0.2$ -0.39, Suggests that the field isolate is antigenically related to the vaccine strain. The vaccine strain might be suitable for use if no closer match can be found provided that a potent vaccine is used and animals are preferably immunised more than once.

 $r_1 = <0.2$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect

In the case of neutralisation:

 $r_1 = \ge 0.3$. Suggests that there is a close relationship between field isolate and vaccine strain. A potent vaccine containing the vaccine strain is likely to confer protection.

 $r_1 = < 0.3$. Suggests that the field isolate is so different from the vaccine strain that the vaccine is unlikely to protect.